

()

[1; 9; 10]:

- 1) / ;
- 2) -/ () (),
- 3) ; , , .

: SVO, SOV,

VSO [1].

(,),

, SOV,
[4, c. 103].

(=)

SOV;

- SVO;

[10, . 220–21].

(V2- , , -
(V2 SVO)

X()SVO, - -

[10, . 220–24].

V2-

(, , , (: ,))

[10, . 226–227].

1)

(, ,), ([14];

2)

SOV -

(Kayne)

a priori

SVO

VP,

[13, .

397–426];

3) SOV

LF PF [Spec, AgrP]

PF XIII .., a SOV XV .. [11, . 64].

NP, [Spec, vP]

SOV V (V-v), vP ([6]. [Spec, P],

NP, (*piedpiping*) (*piedpiping*) (Agree) () .

vP), (vP) [5, . 2-3].

I

		NP,
	- NP [Spec, v]	NP([Spec, TP])
	- V	vP([Spec, TP]) - <i>head pied-piping</i>
	- NP [Spec, v]	vP([Spec, TP]) - <i>spec pied piping</i>

(), () - (*piedpiping*) (- (*head piedpiping*),) .

[5, . 13].

(*head*)

piedpiping)

spec piedpiping, (

13]. SOV

NP

[Spec, TP].

vP

[Spec, TP]

SOV

[4, .

NP [Spec, vP]

SVO

118–119; 5, . 1–8].

() .

– [Spec, TP],

NP

NP

pro,

[4, . 100].

[Spec, TP] –

[4, . 101].

V2-

[Spec, CP] [4, . 103].

[Spec, TP].

NP [Spec, TP].

[Spec, TP]

[4, . 104].

(*there has been danced*).

[Spec, TP]

[Spec, TP]

pro,

LF / “ ” *pro*

?

[4, . 107–108].

[Spec, TP]);

V2-

SVO

(

SOV

[Spec, CP]).

NP,

[Spec, CP] V2-
 [Spec, TP] [15, . 185].
 XIV .. [7, . 61].
ther/der V2, XVIII ..
 [7, . 66].
there – det
 ;
 V2- XVI–XVII
 ; SVO . [3, . 292; 4, . 112].
 ()
 V2-
 V2-
 V2-
 [8, . 70].
it – es, *there – es*
 [Spec, CP]. V2-
there ([4, . 110]. *it*)
there,
 [4, . 110].
 V1 *there it, ∴*
 (1) *It for i onne an Faeder* (The sermons Catholici of Alfric) [12, c. 974].
there
it ∴
 (2) *It behoveth but one stroke – ther behoveth but one stroke* [16, c. 52].
 SOV SVO
 ()

“ ”

there. (,)

[Spec, TP],

[Spec, TP]

1. (IV – XIII c .) : /
2. , 2003. – 327 . /
3. Andersson E. Swedish / E. Andersson // The Germanic Languages. – London, New York : Routledge, 1994. – P. 271–312.
4. Biberauer T. Reconsidering the EPP and Spec-TP in Germanic / T. Biberauer // Cambridge Occasional Papers in Linguistics. – Cambridge : Cambridge University Press, 2003. – 1. – P. 100–120.
5. Biberauer T. Changing EPP Parameters in the History of English: Accounting for Variation and Change / T. Biberauer, I. Roberts // English Language and Linguistics. – 2005. – 9. – P. 5–46.
6. Chomsky N. Minimalist Inquiries: the Framework / N. Chomsky // Step by Step. – Cambridge, Mass. : MIT Press. – 2000. – P. 89–155.
7. Faarlund J. T. Old and Middle Scandinavian / J. T. Faarlund // The Germanic Languages. – London, New York : Routledge, 1994. – P. 38–71.
8. Hegarty M. A Feature-based Syntax of Functional Categories. The Structure, Acquisition, and Specific Impairment of Functional Systems / M. Hegarty // Studies in Generative Grammar 79. – Berlin, New York : Mouton de Gruyter, 2005. – 348 p.
9. Lass R. Historical Linguistics and Language Change / R. Lass. – Cambridge : Cambridge University Press. – 1997. – 423 p.
10. Lass R. Old English. A Historical Companion / R. Lass. – Cambridge : Cambridge University Press. – 1995. – 300 p.
11. McFadden T. OV-VO in English and the Role of Case Marking in Word Order / T. McFadden // English Language and Linguistics. – 2005. – Vol. 9. – Part I. – P. 63–82.
12. Mitchell B. Old English Syntax / B. Mitchell. – Oxford : Clarendon Press, 1985. – Vol. II. – 1080 p.
13. Roberts I. Directionality and Word Order Change in the History of English / I. Roberts // Parameters of Morphosyntactic Change. – 1997. – P. 397–426.
14. van Kemenade A. Syntactic Case and Morphological Case in the History of English / A. Van Kemenade. – Dordrecht : Foris Publications, 1987. – 249 p.
15. Vikner S. Verb Movement and Expletive Subjects in the Germanic Languages / S. Vikner. – Oxford : Oxford University Press, 1995. – 294 p.
16. Visser F. Th. An Historical Syntax of the English Language / F. Th. Visser. – Leiden : E.J. Brill, 1984. – Part I. Syntactical Units with One Verb. – 657 p.

SVO [Spec, TP], *SOV* – [Spec, CP].

TP], [Spec, CP].

The present article focuses on the problem of the dependency of formal subject syntactic position on word-order model of Germanic sentence. The word order change is explained with taking into account the latest data of generative grammar. It is determined that formal subject is positioned in [Spec, TP] in SVO Germanic languages and in [Spec, CP] in SOV Germanic languages.

Key words: *word order, expletive, Probe-Goal agreement, V2-requirement, [Spec, T] position, [Spec, CP] position.*