

Диференціальні рівняння і математична фізика

UDC 517.524+517.588

CONVERGENCE OF GAUSS CONTINUED FRACTION FOR THE RATIO OF HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTIONS IN \mathbb{Q}_p

M. M. Symotyuk, O. M. Medvid

*Pidstryhach Institute for Applied Problems of Mechanics and Mathematics
of NAS of Ukraine; 79060, L'viv, Naukovas str., 3b;
e-mail: quaternion@ukr.net, medoks@ukr.net*

The conditions of convergence of Gauss continued fraction to the ratio of hypergeometric functions in the field of p -adic numbers are established.

Key words: *hypergeometric functions of Gauss, continued fraction of Gauss, p -adic numbers.*

1. Introduction and formulation of main results

The following fraction is called Gauss continued fraction [1, 2]

$$1 + \cfrac{D}{1} \cfrac{a_n z}{1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$a_{2n+1} = -\cfrac{(a+n)(c-b+n)}{(c+2n)(c+2n+1)}, \quad n \geq 0, \quad a_{2n} = -\cfrac{(b+n)(c-a+n)}{(c+2n-1)(c+2n)}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (2)$$

and a, b, c are any complex numbers, such that $c \notin \{0; -1; -2; \dots\}$. Let us notice that if at least one of the numbers a, b belongs to the set $\{0; -1; -2; \dots\}$, then the fraction (1) reduces to the ratio of polynomials.

The fraction (1) arises from the expansion of the ratio of Gauss hypergeometric functions

$$\cfrac{F(a, b; c; z)}{F(a, b+1; c+1; z)} \quad (3)$$

into continued fraction [2]. Let us recall [3] that Gauss function $F(a, b; c; z)$ is given inside the disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ by the sum of Gauss hypergeometric series

$$F(a, b; c; z) = {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!}, \quad (4)$$

where $a, b, c \in \mathbf{C}$, $c \notin \{0; -1; -2; \dots\}$, $(\cdot)_n$ are Pochhammer symbols:

$$(a)_0 = 1, \quad (a)_n = a(a+1) \cdot \dots \cdot (a+n-1), \quad n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

In similar way from (4) we can obtain following equalities

$$F(a, b; c; z) = F(a, b+1; c+1; z) - \frac{a(c-b)}{c(c+1)} z F(a+1, b+1; c+2; z), \quad (5)$$

$$F(a, b+1; c+1; z) = F(a+1, b+1; c+2; z) - \frac{(b+1)(c-a+1)}{(c+1)(c+2)} z F(a+1, b+2; c+3; z), \quad (6)$$

From equalities (5), (6) we obtain the following recurrent relations

$$w_n(z) = 1 + \frac{a_{n+1} z}{w_{n+1}(z)}, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (7)$$

where

$$w_{2n+1}(z) = \frac{F(a+n, b+n+1; c+2n+1; z)}{F(a+n+1, b+n+1; c+2n+2; z)}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

$$w_{2n}(z) = \frac{F(a+n, b+n; c+2n; z)}{F(a+n, b+n+1; c+2n+1; z)}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (8)$$

and a_n , $n \geq 1$, are defined by equations (2). Then from equalities (7), (8) we obtain

$$\frac{F(a, b; c; z)}{F(a, b+1; c+1; z)} = 1 + \frac{a_1 z}{1 + \frac{a_2 z}{\ddots + \frac{a_n z}{1 + \frac{a_n z}{w_n(z)}}}}$$

So we get a continued fraction expansion of the ratio (3) (see [2])

$$\frac{F(a, b; c; z)}{F(a, b+1; c+1; z)} \sim 1 + D \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n z}{1}.$$

The sequence of functions

$$f_0(z) = 1, \quad f_n(z) = 1 + D \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{a_k z}{1}, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}, \quad (9)$$

is called the sequence of approximants of fraction (1).

The Gauss fraction (1) is said to converge (uniformly) to the function $G(z)$ in the set M , if the sequence of its approximants $\{f_n(z)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges (uniformly) on M to $G(z)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The interesting question is: for which a, b, c and for which value z fraction (9) converges to the relation (3).

In the work [2] it was established that for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$, $c \notin \{0; -1; -2; \dots\}$, Gauss continued fraction (1) converges to the ratio (3) in the cut plane $P = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg(1-z)| < \pi\}$ and convergence is uniformly on every compact subset of $\{z \in P : G(z) \neq \infty\}$, where $G(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(z)$.

In present work the results of the work [2] are transferred to the case when the parameters a, b, c, z of the Gauss continued fraction (1) are p -adic numbers and the convergence of sequence of approximants (9) is considered in the p -adic norm. The main result of this work consists in the following propositions:

Theorem 1. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ be such that

$$|a|_p \neq |c|_p, |b|_p \neq |c|_p, \min\{|a|_p, |b|_p\} > 1, |c|_p > \max\{|a|_p, |b|_p\}.$$

Then fraction (1) uniformly converges in the p -adic disk $\{z \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |z|_p < 1\}$.

Theorem 2. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ be such that

$$|a|_p \neq |c|_p, |b|_p \neq |c|_p, \min\{|a|_p, |b|_p\} > 1, |c|_p > \max\{|a|_p, |b|_p, |ab|_p\}.$$

Then Gauss fraction uniformly converges in the p -adic disk $\{z \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |z|_p < p^{1/(1-p)}\}$ to the ratio (3).

2. Basic concepts of p -adic numbers

In order to prove Theorems 1, 2 let us recall some concepts of the theory of p -adic numbers [4]. Let us define the p -adic norm in the set of rational numbers \mathbb{Q} by the rule

$$|0|_p = 0, \quad |x|_p = \frac{1}{p^{\text{ord}_p x}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\},$$

where p is the prime number, and where the p -adic ordinal $\text{ord}_p x$ of the rational number x is defined by means of the equality

$$\text{ord}_p x = \begin{cases} \max\{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : x \equiv 0 \pmod{p^m}\} & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Z}, x \neq 0, \\ \text{ord}_p a - \text{ord}_p b, & \text{if } x = \frac{a}{b}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}. \end{cases}$$

The field of p -adic numbers, denoted by the symbol \mathbb{Q}_p , is defined as the completion of the field of rational numbers \mathbb{Q} with respect to the p -adic norm introduced above. For the p -adic norm the strengthened triangle inequality holds, namely

$$|x + y|_p \leq \max\{|x|_p, |y|_p\}.$$

This inequality implies the principle of isosceles triangle [4] for the field \mathbb{Q}_p , which consists in that for any $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ the alternative holds: either $|x|_p = |y|_p$, or $|x \pm y|_p \leq \max\{|x|_p, |y|_p\}$, if $|x|_p \neq |y|_p$.

3. Properties of the partial numerators

Now we shall obtain properties of a_n , $n \geq 1$, defined by the equality

(2). Let us denote: $D(r) = \{z \in \mathbf{Q}_p : |z|_p < r\}$, $r > 0$.

Lemma 1. *If $a, b, c \in \mathbf{Q}_p$, $|a|_p \neq |c|_p$, $|b|_p \neq |c|_p$, $\min\{|a|_p, |b|_p\} > 1$, $|c|_p > \max\{|a|_p, |b|_p\}$, then following equalities hold:*

$$|a_n|_p \leq \max\{|a|_p, |b|_p\} / |c|_p < 1, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Proof. As $|n|_p \leq 1$ for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$, then from the conditions of Lemma 1 together with the principle of the isosceles triangle [4] it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |a+n|_p &= |a|_p, \quad |b+n|_p = |b|_p, \quad |c+n|_p = |c|_p, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}, \\ |c-a+n|_p &= |c|_p, \quad |c-b+n|_p = |c|_p, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

From the inequality $|c|_p > \max\{|a|_p, |b|_p\}$ together with the relations (2), (10) we obtain that the following relations hold

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{2n+1}|_p &= |a|_p / |c|_p \leq \max\{|a|_p, |b|_p\} / |c|_p < 1, \quad n \geq 0, \\ |a_{2n}|_p &= |b|_p / |c|_p \leq \max\{|a|_p, |b|_p\} / |c|_p < 1, \quad n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma is proved.

4. Properties of the canonical numerators and denominators

Let us define p -adic norms of the canonical numerators and denominators of the Gauss fraction. Let us remark that the recurrence sequences of functions $\{A_n(z)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $\{B_n(z)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, which are defined from the equalities

$$A_0(z) = 1, \quad A_1(z) = a_1 z + 1, \quad B_0(z) = 1, \quad B_1(z) = 1,$$

$A_n(z) = A_{n-1}(z) + a_n z A_{n-2}(z)$, $B_n(z) = B_{n-1}(z) + a_n z B_{n-2}(z)$, $n \geq 2$, (11) are the canonical numerators and denominators of approximants of the Gauss fraction, so that

$$f_n(z) = A_n(z) / B_n(z), \quad n \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

Lemma 2. *Let $a, b, c \in \mathbf{Q}_p$, $|a|_p \neq |c|_p$, $|b|_p \neq |c|_p$, $\min\{|a|_p, |b|_p\} > 1$, $|c|_p > \max\{|a|_p, |b|_p\}$. If $z \in D(1)$ then*

$$|A_n(z)|_p = 1, \quad |B_n(z)|_p = 1, \quad n \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}. \quad (12)$$

Proof. We shall apply the method of mathematical induction on n . It is obvious that $|A_0(z)|_p = 1$, $|B_0(z)|_p = 1$, $|B_1(z)|_p = 1$. From Lemma 1 for all $z \in D(1)$ we obtain $|a_1 z|_p < |a_1|_p < 1$, therefore from the principle of isosceles triangle we obtain $|A_1(z)|_p = 1$. Thus the equalities (12) are true for $n = 0, 1$ and the base of induction is established.

We assume that equalities (12) are true for all $n < k$, where $k \geq 3$. Then from Lemma 1 and from the inductive hypothesis it follows that for all $z \in D(1)$

$$|A_{k-1}(z)|_p = 1, |a_k z A_{k-2}(z)|_p = |a_k z|_p < |a_k|_p < 1,$$

$$|B_{k-1}(z)|_p = 1, |a_k z B_{k-2}(z)|_p = |a_k z|_p < |a_k|_p < 1.$$

From these relations together with the recurrent relations (11) and the principle of isosceles triangle we obtain

$$|A_k(z)|_p = \max\{|A_{k-1}(z)|_p, |a_k z A_{k-2}(z)|_p\} = 1,$$

$$|B_k(z)|_p = \max\{|B_{k-1}(z)|_p, |a_k z B_{k-2}(z)|_p\} = 1,$$

what means that the equalities (12) hold for $n = k$. Therefore, the step of induction is obtained. Lemma is proved.

5. The convergence of sequence of approximants

Let us establish conditions of convergence of the sequence $\{f_n(z)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, defined by formula (9).

Lemma 3. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbf{Q}_p$, $|a|_p \neq |c|_p$, $|b|_p \neq |c|_p$, $\min\{|a|_p, |b|_p\} > 1$, $|c|_p > \max\{|a|_p, |b|_p\}$. If $z \in D(1)$ then for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$

$$|f_n(z) - f_{n-1}(z)|_p = |a_1|_p \cdot \dots \cdot |a_n|_p \cdot |z|_p^n. \quad (13)$$

Proof. We shall use the method of mathematical induction on n . For $n = 1$ we have

$$|f_1(z) - f_0(z)|_p = \left| \frac{A_1(z)}{B_1(z)} - \frac{A_0(z)}{B_0(z)} \right|_p = \left| \frac{A_1(z)B_0(z) - A_0(z)B_1(z)}{B_1(z)B_0(z)} \right|_p = |a_1 z|_p.$$

Let us assume that formula (13) is true for $n = k$, $k \geq 1$. Now we will prove that it is true for $n = k + 1$. In fact, on the basis of Lemma 2, $|B_n(z)|_p = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{k+1}(z) - f_k(z)|_p &= \left| \frac{A_{k+1}(z)}{B_{k+1}(z)} - \frac{A_k(z)}{B_k(z)} \right|_p = \\ &= \left| \frac{A_{k+1}(z)B_k(z) - A_k(z)B_{k+1}(z)}{B_{k+1}(z)B_k(z)} \right|_p = |A_{k+1}(z)B_k(z) - A_k(z)B_{k+1}(z)|_p. \end{aligned}$$

By applying to the functions $A_{k+1}(z)$, $B_{k+1}(z)$ the recurrent relations (11) in compliance with the induction assumptions and according to Lemmas 1, 2, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{k+1}(z) - f_k(z)|_p &= |A_k(z)B_k(z) + a_{k+1}zA_{k-1}(z)B_k(z) - \\ &\quad - A_k(z)B_k(z) - a_{k+1}zA_k(z)B_{k-1}(z)|_p = \\ &= |a_{k+1}z(A_{k-1}(z)B_k(z) - A_k(z)B_{k-1}(z))|_p = \end{aligned}$$

$$= |a_{k+1}z|_p |f_k(z) - f_{k-1}(z)|_p = |a_1|_p \cdots |a_{k+1}|_p \cdot |z|_p^{k+1}.$$

Lemma is proved.

Proof of Theorem 1. Based on the assumptions of the Theorem and of Lemmas 1, 3 it follows that for any $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$, $m > n$, and $z \in D(1)$ the next estimates are true:

$$|f_n(z) - f_m(z)|_p \leq \max_{n+1 \leq j \leq m} |f_j(z) - f_{j-1}(z)|_p < (\max\{|a|_p, |b|_p\} / |c|_p)^n.$$

From inequality $|c|_p > \max\{|a|_p, |b|_p\}$ the fundamentality of sequence (9) in \mathbf{Q}_p follows and so its convergence in \mathbf{Q}_p follows too.

6. Convergence of the sequence of approximants to the ratio of hypergeometric functions

The Theorem 1 bring us to the fact that in the circle $D(1)$ there exists a function $f : D(1) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p$, which is the point limit of the sequence (9):

$$f(z) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(z), \quad z \in D(1).$$

From Lemma 2 it follows that the image of the map $f(z)$ in fact is a subset of unit circle $\{z \in \mathbf{Q}_p : |z|_p = 1\}$.

Let us establish the requirements for the parameters $a, b, c \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ for which the function $f(z)$ equals the ratio (3).

Lemma 4. *Let $a, b, c \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ and $\min\{|a|_p, |b|_p\} > 1$, $|c|_p > |ab|_p$. If $z \in D(p^{1/(1-p)})$, then $|F(a, b; c; z)|_p = 1$ for all $z \in D(p^{1/(1-p)})$.*

Proof. It is known [4] that

$$|1/n!|_p \leq p^{n/(p-1)}, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}. \quad (14)$$

From the conditions of Lemma and the formulas (10), (14) we see that for all $n \geq 1$ and $z \in D(p^{1/(1-p)})$

$$\left| \frac{(a)_n (b)_n z^n}{(c)_n n!} \right|_p \leq \frac{|ab|_p^n p^{n/(p-1)} |z|_p^n}{|c|_p^n} \leq \frac{|ab|_p^n}{|c|_p^n}. \quad (15)$$

From the inequality $|c|_p > |ab|_p$ together with the estimates (15) the convergence of series (4) follows, so from the principle of isosceles triangle it follows that

$$|F(a, b; c; z)|_p = \max \left\{ 1; \sup_{n \geq 1} \left\{ \left| \frac{(a)_n (b)_n z^n}{(c)_n n!} \right|_p \right\} \right\} = 1.$$

Lemma is proved.

Lemma 5. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbf{Q}_p$, $|a|_p \neq |c|_p$, $|b|_p \neq |c|_p$, $\min\{|a|_p, |b|_p\} > 1$, $|c|_p > \max\{|a|_p, |b|_p, |ab|_p\}$. If $z \in D(p^{1/(1-p)})$ then

$$\left| f_n(z) - \frac{F(a, b; c; z)}{F(a, b+1; c+1; z)} \right|_p = |a_1|_p \cdots |a_{n+1}|_p \cdot |z|_p^n, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}. \quad (16)$$

Proof. Let us prove that for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ the following formula is true

$$\frac{F(a, b; c; z)}{F(a, b+1; c+1; z)} = \frac{a_{n+1}zA_{n-1}(z) + w_{n+1}(z)A_n(z)}{a_{n+1}zB_{n-1}(z) + w_{n+1}(z)B_n(z)}, \quad (17)$$

where $w_n(z)$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, are defined by the equation (8). Let us use the method of mathematical induction on n . For $n = 1$ we have

$$\frac{F(a, b; c; z)}{F(a, b+1; c+1; z)} = 1 + \frac{a_1z}{w_1(z)}.$$

Let us assume that the formula (17) is true for $n < k$. Now we shall prove that it holds for $n = k$. From the induction assumptions we obtain

$$\frac{F(a, b; c; z)}{F(a, b+1; c+1; z)} = \frac{a_kzA_{k-2}(z) + w_k(z)A_{k-1}(z)}{a_kzB_{k-2}(z) + w_k(z)B_{k-1}(z)}.$$

Since $w_k(z) = 1 + \frac{a_{k+1}z}{w_{k+1}(z)}$ (see formula (7)), then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F(a, b; c; z)}{F(a, b+1; c+1; z)} &= \frac{a_kzA_{k-2}(z) + \left(1 + \frac{a_{k+1}z}{w_{k+1}(z)}\right)A_{k-1}(z)}{a_kzB_{k-2}(z) + \left(1 + \frac{a_{k+1}z}{w_{k+1}(z)}\right)B_{k-1}(z)} = \\ &= \frac{a_{k+1}zA_{k-1}(z) + w_{k+1}(z)A_k(z)}{a_{k+1}zB_{k-1}(z) + w_{k+1}(z)B_k(z)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore from the formula (17) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| f_n(z) - \frac{F(a, b; c; z)}{F(a, b+1; c+1; z)} \right|_p &= \\ &= \left| \frac{a_nzA_{n-2}(z) + A_{n-1}(z)}{a_nzB_{n-2}(z) + B_{n-1}(z)} - \frac{a_nzA_{n-2}(z) + w_n(z)A_{n-1}(z)}{a_nzB_{n-2}(z) + w_n(z)B_{n-1}(z)} \right|_p = \\ &= \frac{|f_{n-1}(z) - f_{n-2}(z)|_p |a_nz|_p |1 - w_n(z)|_p}{|a_nzB_{n-2}(z) + B_{n-1}(z)|_p |a_nzB_{n-2}(z) + w_n(z)B_{n-1}(z)|_p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since from Lemma 4 and formula (8) it follows that $|w_n(z)|_p = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, then from Lemmas 2, 3 and formula (7) we obtain (16).

Lemma is proved.

Proof of Theorem 2. Since from the assumptions of the Theorem 2 and of Lemmas 1, 5 it follows that for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $z \in D(p^{1/(1-p)})$ it is true that

$$\left| f_n(z) - \frac{F(a, b; c; z)}{F(a, b+1; c+1; z)} \right|_p \leq (\max\{|a|_p, |b|_p\} / |c|_p)^{n+1}.$$

From this inequality and inequality $|c|_p > \max\{|a|_p, |b|_p\}$ it follows that the sequence of functions (9) converges to the ratio (3) in \mathbf{Q}_p .

Література

1. Gauss C. F. Disquisitiones Generales circa Seriem Infinitam $1 + \frac{\alpha\beta}{1 \cdot \gamma}x + \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)\beta(\beta+1)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot \gamma \cdot (\gamma+1)}x^2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)\beta(\beta+1)(\beta+2)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \gamma \cdot (\gamma+1) \cdot (\gamma+2)}x^3 + \text{etc.}$, Pars prior, Comm. soc. regiae sci. Göttingensis rec. 2 (1812), 1-46, Werke; Band 3, Königliche Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften, Göttingen (1876), 123-162.
2. Lorentzen L. Continued fractions with applications / L.Lorentzen, H.Waadeland // Vol. 3 of Studies in Computational Mathematics. – North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1992.
3. Jones W.B. Continued Fractions. Analytic Theory and Applications / W.B.Jones, W.J.Thron // Vol. 11 of Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass., 1980.
4. Koblitz N. p-adic Numbers, p-adic Analysis, and Zeta-Functions, N.Koblitz / Graduate Texts in Mathematics. – No. 58, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. Second edition, 1984.

Стаття надійшла до редакційної колегії 16.03.2016 р.

Рекомендовано до друку член-кореспондентом НАН України, д.ф.-м.н., професором Пташиником Б.Й. (м. Львів), к.ф.-м.н., доцентом Казмерчуком А.І.

ЗБІЖНІСТЬ НЕПЕРЕРВНОГО ДРОБУ ГАУССА ДО ВІДНОШЕННЯ ГІПЕРГЕОМЕТРИЧНИХ ФУНКЦІЙ В \mathbf{Q}_p

М. М. Симолюк, О. М. Медвідь

*Інститут прикладних проблем механіки і математики
ім. Я.С. Підстригача НАН України; 79060, м. Львів,
вул. Наукова, 3-б; e-mail: quaternion@ukr.net, medoks@ukr.net*

Встановлено умови збіжності неперервного дробу Гаусса до відношення значень гіпергеометричних функцій Гаусса в полі p-адичних чисел.

Ключові слова: гіпергеометрична функція Гаусса, неперервний дріб Гаусса, p-адичні числа.