



Natalia Podvirna. Centers of power decision–making and network power decision in Ukraine

The article deals with understanding the centers of decision–making in politics in contemporary political science, proposed copyright sense centers of decision–making. The review of network power decision, their types in different periods of modern history are defined.

Keywords: *power decisions, decision–making centers, network power decisions, the state apparatus, political–administrative network.*

: 1) ; 2)

[10, .35].

[4, .167].

[2, .184].

1)

; 2)

; 3)

—

—

.

,

.

,

—

.

,

:

,

,

,

.

,

:

,

,

,

,

(

,

)

,

,

,

.

,

.

.

:

,

,

.

,

,

,

,

.

—

,

,

,

.

,

,

.

,

,

,

.

.

,

,

,

,

,

,

.

—

,

.

—

()

.

,

—

.

,

,

,

,

—

.

,

.

.

.

.

‘ .

·

’

’

’

—

·

·

’

·

’

’

·

·

’

’

·

’

—

’

’

·

·

—

’

—

·

’

«

».

—

’

·

’

’

’

(

’

).

’

’

—

·

’

’

’

’

’

—

’

—

’

’

·

(,)

— « » —

« » : «

—

».

[8, .166].

[8, .167].

[2, .126].

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all business transactions.

2. It emphasizes the need for transparency and accountability in financial reporting.

3. The second section outlines the various methods used to collect and analyze financial data.

4. This includes the use of accounting systems and statistical analysis to identify trends and anomalies.

5. The third part of the document focuses on the role of internal controls in preventing fraud and ensuring the integrity of the financial statements.

6. It highlights the importance of segregation of duties and regular audits to detect and prevent errors.

7. The fourth section discusses the impact of external factors, such as economic conditions and market fluctuations, on a company's financial performance.

8. It provides a detailed analysis of the company's financial position over a period of time.

9. The fifth part of the document concludes with a summary of the key findings and recommendations for future actions.

10. It stresses the importance of ongoing monitoring and review to ensure the continued success and growth of the organization.

.

, « » (. 102);
, « » (. 175);

, «
» (. 113); (.

136); «
» (. 147); , «

» (. 25);
– «

(. 121); » (.

107) . [3].

,

, .
1998–2000 .
194 .

,

.

, –

,

,

,

.

,

，
(，)
(，)
)，
(
)
)
()

1998

1998 .

2006 .,

2010 .,

.40

[3].

2004 . 1378) «

» (18 2005 . 356).

« »

60%

[5]

2004 .

[7].

2007-2012 .

2007-2010 .

2010 .

2010 .

— : 2007- — 2010-

2010 .

• ,

- «

- » [6].

, ,

,

•

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

-

,

•

,

,

,

•

(

,

,),

(

,

).

,

•

,

,

,

:

,

,

,

,

•

,

•

1.

•

/ •

•

-

:: «

»

- «

». - 1992.

2. . / . . – :
 , : . – 2004.
3. . – [] – :
<http://zakon0.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/254%D0%BA/96-%D0%B2%D1%80>
4. . / . . – , 2006.
5. . .
 // . – 4 (5). – 2007. –
.13–18.
6. . « » :
 [] / . // . – 2. –
2004. – .162–170.
7. . . , . .
 //
. – 2011. – .16. – .10. – .697–704.
8. . / . ; . . . – ∴ ,
1999.
9. Pietra Ziemowit Jacek. Decydowanie polityczne. – Wydawnictwo Naukowe PWN
Warszawa–Kraków, 1998.
10. Rydlewski G. Decydowanie Publiczne: Polska na tle innych panstw czlonwskich
Unii Europejskiej – Warszawa: Elipsa, 2011.